

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH
HIGH COURT DIVISION
SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION ON OF 2013

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Article 102 of the Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

Public Interest Litigation (PIL).

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

1. Human Rights and Peace for Bangladesh (HRPB), represented by its Secretary, Advocate Asaduzzaman Siddique, Hall No. 2, Supreme Court Bar Association Bhaban, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

.....Petitioner.

-VERSUS-

1. Bangladesh represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Local Government, Bangladesh Secretariat, P.S. Shahbag, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

2. The Chairman Water Development Board (WAPDA), WAPDA Bhaban, Motijheel C/A, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

3. The Deputy Commissioner, Pabna, P.S. and District-Pabna.

4. The Police Super, Pabna, P.S. and District-Pabna.

5. The Mayour, Bera Pourashava P.S. Bera, District- Pabna.

6. The Executive Engineer, Bera Water Development Board, P.S. Bera, District- Pabna.

7. Upazila Nirbahi Officer (TNO), P.S. Bera, District- Pabna.

8. The Upazila Engineer, Bera, P.S. Bera, District-Pabna

9. The Officer in Charge (O.C.), Bera Thana, P.S. Bera, District- Pabna.

..... Respondents.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF:

The Bangladesh Environment Conservation Act 1995 (amended in 2000 and 2002), and *griBmix, uefMiq kni I tRjv kni i tci GjvKmn t`tki mKj tci GjvKvi tLjvi grV DbB`vb, D`vb Ges cKwZK Rjvavi msi q`b AvBb, 2000*.

AND
IN THE MATTER OF:

For a direction to stop earth filling and illegal encroachment and implementation of a development project within Ichamoti River at Bera Upazila under District Pabna, violating the provisions of law.

G R O U N D S -

- I. For that the duty and responsibility vested upon the respondents to serve the people and initiate lawful steps and they are also duty bound to obey the provisions of law. But the respondents have failed to perform the duties and responsibility as vested upon them and by way of violating the provision of law the earth filling is continuing in Ichamoti river, which is illegal. Hence direction may be given upon the respondents to stop encroachment and earth filling in the Ichamoti river at Bera Upazila, District- Pabna.
- II. For that disregard to laws and legal provisions and failure to ensure proper implementation of laws the respondents have caused enough damage to the environment and the local peoples are adversely affecting to the right to life. Under these circumstances the respondents are legally bound to protect the Ichamoti river in accordance with law.
- III. For that under Section 5 of the *ঘনভূমি, বনভূমি ও পানীয় জল সংরক্ষণ আইন, ২০০০* (The Forest Conservation Act, 2000), also prohibits change of the nature of any land that has been earmarked as a natural reservoir. As per section 8 of the Act 2000 any person who acts in contravention of the Act is liable to imprisonment not exceeding 5 years or a fine not exceeding Taka 50,000 or both. In spite of that provision of law the respondents are encroaching in Ichamoti river. Hence a direction may be given to stop earth filling in the Ichamoti river at Bera Upazila, District- Pabna.

Wherefore, it is most humbly prayed that your Lordships would graciously be pleased to :-

a) Issue a Rule Nisi calling upon the Respondents to show cause as to why inaction/failure of the respondents to protect the Ichamoti river/Boropit, should not be declared illegal and without lawful authority and why a direction should not be given upon the respondents to stop earth filling and illegal encroachment and implementation of development project within Ichamoti River/Boropit at Balu Upazila under District Pabna.

AND

Why a direction should not be given upon the respondent no. 5-6 to remove all earth filling from the river Ichamoti/Boroit at their own cost which was done by them in the name of implementation of a project.

b) Pending hearing of the rule direct the respondent no. 3-9 to stop all kinds of earth filling, encroachment and implementation of development project within the river Ichamoti/Boropit at Bera

Upazila, District- Pabna, within 48 hours and submit a compliance report within two weeks before this court.

Present Status

The case was filled and moved by Advocate Manzill Murshid, President, HRPB. After hearing the parties the Hon'ble Court issued Rule Nisi upon the respondents and granted ad-interim order. The matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court Division.
