WRIT PETITION NO. OF 2010.

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Article 102 of the constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh read with Article 44 of the Constitution.

<u>AND</u>

IN THE MATTER OF:

Enforcement of Fundamental Rights as guaranteed under Article 31 of the Constitution of Bangladesh.

<u>AND</u>

IN THE MATTER OF:

Eviction of land owners by way of creating violence against the people in order to grab the

land and treatment of the law enforcing agencies in an unlawful manner violating the provision of law.

<u>AND</u>

IN THE MATTER OF:

Human Rights and Peace for Bangladesh
 (HRPB) Represented by it's Secretary Advocate
 Asaduzzaman Siddique, Hall No. 2, Supreme
 Court Bar Association Bhaban, Dhaka,
 Bangladesh.

2. Advocate Sarwar Ahad Chowdhury,
Director, Human Rights and Peace for
Bangladesh (HRPB) of 3/14 Bashbari Bosila
Road, Mohammadpur, P.S.: Mohammadpur,
Dhaka.

3. Advocate Md. Aklas Uddin Bhuiyan,
Director, Human Rights and Peace for
Bangladesh (HRPB), 3 Agamashi Lane, P.S.:
Kotwali, Dhaka.

...... Petitioners.

-VERSUS-

- Bangladesh represented by the Secretary
 Ministry of Home Affairs, Bangladesh
 Secretariat, P.S. Shahbag, District: Dhaka.
- The additional Secretary, Ministry of Home
 Affairs, Bangladesh Secretariat, P.S.: Shabag,
 District: Dhaka.

3. The Inspector General of Police (IGP)
Police Bhaban, Phulbaria, P.S.; Ramna, DistrictDhaka.

.....Respondents.

<u>AND</u>

IN THE MATTER OF:

For a direction upon the respondents to take legal steps against the police officers who has violated the provision of law and failed to perform their duties as vested upon them under Article 21 and 33 of the Constitution of Bangladesh.

To

Mr. Justice Md. Fazlul Karim, the Hon'ble Chief Justice of Bangladesh and the companion Judges of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

The humble Petition of the Petitioner above named most respectfully

SHEWETH:

- 1. That the petitioners are practicing lawyer of this Hon'ble court.

 The petitioners are being conscious and respectable member of the Bar and challenging the illegal act of the police violating the provision of the constitution and seeks to bring this application by invoking Article 102 of the Constitution as a public interest litigation in order to maintain the dignity and provision of the constitution.
- 2. That the respondent No. 1 is Bangladesh, Represented by the Secretary Ministry of Home Affairs Bangladesh Secretariat, P.S.; Ramna, District- Dhaka. The respondent no.2 is the Additional Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, Bangladesh Secretariat, Dhaka, Bangladesh, The Respondent No. 3 is the Inspector General of Police (IGP) Police Bhaban, Phulbaria, P.S; Ramna, District-Dhaka. That the

addresses of the Petitioners and the Respondents given above are correct for the purpose of service of notices.

- 3. That it is stated here that the Respondents No. 2 and 3 are serving under the respondent no. 1. That the members of the police force are serving under the direct control of respondent no.1. It is stated here that under the leadership and supervision of the police super Bagerhat the police took part in illegal activities violating the provision of law as well as violated the fundamental rights of the citizen as guaranteed under Article 42 of the Constitution of Bangladesh. And as it involves great public importance so this petition may be treated as public interest litigation.
- 4. That it is stated here that it is in the interest of the petitioners and for the benefit of the citizens of the country and in order to establish the rule of law, the petitioners move this public interest Litigation (PIL) before this Hon'ble court.

-

5. That it is stated here that a news was published in the daily News Paper on 19.02.2010 stating the headline that "extra muli Gme i Kû The petitioners read the news item of the news paper and feel very much aggrieved about the action taken by the police violating the provision of law. It was reported in the newspaper that the police took possession by way of evicting hundreds of people from their land. It was done in the name of demarcation of land of Bagerhat and Gopalgoni district. During the eviction the police treated with the people in an unlawful manner. They also set up some sign board on the land in the name of some high official police officers. It is evident from the report that all action has been taken by the leadership of the police super, Bagerhat. In the report it was published in daily Prothom Alo that some police officer forcefully took possession of some properties situated between Gopalgong and Bagerhat district, which was done in the name of land demarcation. During the incident some owner of the property faced unwarrantable personal violence from the police. The police personal also behalf with

the citizen with harshness and rudeness and also threatened the local

people.

Copies of the paper cutting is annexed here

with and marked as 'ANNEXURE-A and A-

1."

6. That it is stated here that on 21.02.2009 another report was published in the daily Prothom Alo that the police super Mizanur Rahman was closed from the station and a letter was issued for the same by the Deputy Inspector General of Police (Admin). But no legal steps

has been taken against the persons who violated the provision of law.

Copy of the paper cutting is annexed here with and marked as 'ANNEXURE-B."

- 7. That it is submitted here that the article 35 (5) of the constitution of Bangladesh has provided a provision that 'no person shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman, or degrading punishment or treatment. More over section 29 of the Police Act 1861 has provided punishment for police officer who shall offer any unwarrantable personal violence to any person.
- 8. That it is submitted here that the duty and responsibility vested upon the law enforcing agency to protect the citizen of the country and property of the citizen. The respondents are also duty bound to obey the provisions of law. It is the duty of a police officer to act legally but no law has been allowed them to treat the citizen in an unlawful manner. But they have failed to perform the duties and responsibility as per the constitution.
- 9. That it is submitted here that under the supervision of a police officer the people were evicted from their own land violating the

provision of law and it has violated the fundamental rights of the citizen.

So the police officer who is liable for this illegal act should be punished as per law.

- 10. That it is submitted here that as per Article 21 of the Constitution of Bangladesh the duty of every public servant is to perform public duties and to protect public property. Under Article 31 of the constitution of Bangladesh no one is allowed to take any action detrimental to property of any person except in accordance with law. According to the news report police violated the provision of Article 21 and 31 of the Constitution of Bangladesh.
- 11. That duty and responsibility vested upon the law enforcing agency to serve the people and initiate lawful steps and they are also duty bound to obey the provisions of law. But the police have failed to perform the duties and responsibility as vested upon them and also failed to protect the property of the citizen, which is illegal. Under these circumstances

the respondents are liable to take immediate steps against the police personal who have violated the provision of law and initiate legal action under section 21 of the Police Act. 1861 as well as section 33 of PRB against them. The respondents are legally bound to form an enquiry committee to find out the real involvement of the police personal in the incident.

12. That on 22.02.2010 a legal notice has been sent by the learned lawyer to the respondents and requested them to take legal action against the persons who were involved in the incident as reported in the daily news paper. But the respondents did not inform the learned lawyer about the request, so it is presumed that the respondents have taken no action.

A copy of the legal notice is annexed here with and marked as "ANNEXURE-C".

15. That being aggrieved by and dissatisfied with the activities of the police and failure to perform the duties as vested upon police and having

-

no other equally efficacious remedy the petitioners beg to move your Lordships on the following amongst others;-

GROUNDS

- I. For that Article 35 (5) of the constitution of Bangladesh has provided a provision that 'no person shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman, or degrading punishment or treatment. More over section 29 of the police Act 1861 has provided punishment for police officer who shall offer any unwarrantable personal violence to any person. The police have violated the principal of law, hence they should be punished.
- II. For that the duty and responsibility vested upon the law enforcing agency to protect persons and property of any citizen of the country. The respondents are also duty bound to obey the provision of law. It is the duty of a police officer to perform the duties in accordance with law, but they have failed to perform the

duties and responsibility as per the constitution. Hence they are

liable to be punished for their illegal act.

III. For that the duty and responsibility vested upon the law enforcing

agency to protect the citizen of the country and property of the

citizen. The respondents are also duty bound to obey the

provisions of law. It is the duty of a police officer to act legally but

no law has been allowed them to treat the citizen in an unlawful

manner. But they have failed to perform the duties and

responsibility as per the constitution.

IV. For that under the supervision of a police officer the people were

evicted from their own land violating the provision of law and it

has violated the fundamental rights of the citizen. So the police

officer who is liable for this illegal act should be punished as per

law.

V. For that as per Article 21 of the Constitution of Bangladesh the duty

of every public servant is to perform public duties and to protect

public property. Under Article 31 of the constitution of Bangladesh no one is allowed to take any action detrimental to property of any person except in accordance with law. According to the news report police violated the provision of Article 21 and 31 of the

Constitution of Bangladesh.

VI. For that duty and responsibility vested upon the law enforcing agency to serve the people and initiate lawful steps and they are also duty bound to obey the provisions of law. But the police have failed to perform the duties and responsibility as vested upon them and also failed to protect the property of the citizen, which is illegal.

Under these circumstances the respondents are liable to take immediate steps against the police personal who have violated the provision of law and initiate legal action under section 21 of the Police Act. 1861 as well as section 33 of PRB against them. The respondents are legally bound to form an enquiry committee to find out the real involvement of the police personal in the incident.

Wherefore it is most humbly prayed that your Lordships would graciously be pleased to issue: -

- a) A Rule Nisi calling upon the Respondents to show cause as to why direction should not be given upon the respondents to take legal steps as per section 29 of the Police Act 1861 and section 33 of PRB against the police personnel who violated the provision of law as reported in daily news paper on 19.02.10.
- b) Pending hearing of the Rule an order may be passed directing the Respondents to abstain the police super Mizanur Rahman from any public duty.
- c) Direct the respondents to form an independent inquiry committee within 7 days

-

to find out the name of the police personal who are liable for the incident as reported in the news paper and submitted report before this Hon'ble Court within 30 (thirty days).

- d) Make the Rule absolute upon hearing the cause if any shown by the Respondent.
- e) Pass such other and further order or orders as may seem fit and proper to your Lordships

And for this act of kindness your petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Advocate, Asaduzzaman Siddique, Secretary Human rights and Peace for Bangladesh of Hall No. 2, Supreme Court of Bangladesh, aged about 48 years by faith Muslim by occupation Lawyer by Nationality Bangladeshi by birth do hereby solemnly affirm and say as follows:-

1. That I am the Petitioner No. 1 in this case and acquainted with the
facts and circumstances of this application and am competent to swear
this affidavit.
2. That the statement made hereinabove in this application are true to
the best of my knowledge and belief.
Prepared in my office.
Manzill Murshid মনজিল মোরসেদ
Advocate THE DEPONENT IS KNOWN TO ME AND IDENTIFIED BY ME
Manzill Murshid মনজিল মোরসেদ Advocate
SOLEMNLY AFFIRMED BEFORE ME THIS THEDAY OF:2010 AT AM/PM

COMMISSIONER OF AFFIDAVITS SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH HIGH COURT DIVISION, DHAKA. AFFIDAVIT

I, Advocate Sarwar Ahad Chowdhury, Director, Human Rights and Peace for Bangladesh (HRPB) of 3/14 Bashbari Bosila Road, Mohammadpur, P.S.: Mohammadpur, Dhaka, aged aboutyears by faith Muslim by occupation Lawyer by Nationality Bangladeshi by birth do hereby solemnly affirm and say as follows:-

- 1. That I am the Petitioner No. 2 in this case and acquainted with the facts and circumstances of this application and am competent to swear this affidavit.
- 2. That the statement made hereinabove in this application are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Prepared in my office.	
Manzill Murshid মনজিল মোরসেদ	(DEPONENT)
Advocate	THE DEPONENT IS KNOWN
	TO ME
	AND IDENTIFIED BY ME
	Manzill Murshid
	মনজিল মোরসেদ
	Advocate
SOLEMNI	LY AFFIRMED BEFORE
ME THI	S THEDAY OF

COMMISSIONER OF AFFIDAVITS SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH HIGH COURT DIVISION, DHAKA.

.....:2010 AT..... AM/PM

AFFIDAVIT

I, Advocate Md. Aklas Uddin Bhuiyan, Director, Human Rights and Peace for Bangladesh (HRPB), 3 Agamashi Lane, P.S.: Kotwali, Dhaka, aged aboutyears by faith Muslim by occupation Lawyer by Nationality Bangladeshi by birth do hereby solemnly affirm and say as follows:-1. That I am the Petitioner No. 3 in this case and acquainted with the facts and circumstances of this application and am competent to swear this affidavit. 2. That the statement made hereinabove in this application are true to the best of my knowledge and belief. Prepared in my office. Manzill Murshid (DEPONENT) মনজিল মোরসেদ Advocate THE DEPONENT IS KNOWN TO ME AND IDENTIFIED BY ME Manzill Murshid মনজিল মোরসেদ Advocate SOLEMNLY AFFIRMED BEFORE

> COMMISSIONER OF AFFIDAVITS SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH HIGH COURT DIVISION, DHAKA.

ME THIS THEDAY OF2010 AT..... AM/PM

WRIT PETITION NO. OF 2010.

IN THE MATTER OF:

Advocate Asaduzzaman Siddique and others.

.....Petitioners.

-VERSUS-

Bangladesh, Represented by the
 Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs,
 Bangladesh Secretariat, Ramna, Dhaka and another.

.....Respondents.

INDEX

SL	Description of the paper or document	Date	Page
1	Power		
2	Writ Petition		
3.	Copies of the paper cutting dated 19.02.10 is annexed here with and marked as	19.02.10	
	'ANNEXURE-A and A-1."		
4.	Copy of the paper cutting dated 21.02.10 is annexed here with and marked as 'ANNEXURE-B."	21.02.10	
5.	A copy of the legal notice is annexed here		
	with and marked as "ANNEXURE-C".		
6.	Back Sheet		

Manzill Murshid Advocate For the Petitioners.

WRIT PETITION NO OF 2010.			
IN THE MATTER OF:			
Advocate Asaduzzaman Siddique and others.			
Petitioners.			
-VERSUS-			
1. Bangladesh, Represented by the			
Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs,			
Bangladesh Secretariat, Ramna, Dhaka and			
another.			
Respondents.			

Manzill Murshid Advocate For the Petitioners.

WRIT PETITION NOOF 2010.
IN THE MATTER OF:
Advocate Asaduzzaman Siddique and others
-V E R S U S-
Bangladesh and others
Respondents

To The Learned Attorney General The Peoples Republic of Bangladesh

Dear Sir,

Please take notice that an application under Article 102 of the Constitution read with Article 44, will be filed and moved before this Court, a copy of which is enclosed herewith for your kind information.

Regards-

Manzill Murshid মনজিল মোরসেদ Advocate Supreme Court of Bangladesh.